PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 0 9 JUN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P18268PC00TV				FOR FURTHER ACT	ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)							
International application No. PCT/NO2005/000045				International filing date (da 07.02.2005	ny/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.02.2004						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. A63B71/06												
Applicant BERG, Pal et al.												
·												
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 											
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.											
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).											
	These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.											
-												
з.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:											
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion									
1	[]		Priority									
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability												
1.	IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement											
	۷I		Certain documents c									
1	VII			international application								
	VIII		Certain observations on the international application									
Date	e of sub	missio	on of the demand		Date of completion of	this report						
05.12.2005					08.06.2006	006						
Name and mailing address of the International preliminary examining authority:					Authorized Officer	Superior Landson, E.						
-	116		ropean Patent Office 80298 Munich		Squeri, M	(0)) }						
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-8417						
-			Jul 140 00 2000 : 4400									

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Basis of the report

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000045

••	Duoie et alle vaj										
	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):										
	Description Pages										
	Description, Pages										

Description, Pages

1-12 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-5 filed with telefax on 19.05.2006

Drawings, Sheets

1/10-10/10 as originally filed

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:the description, pages:

the description, pages.

the claims, Nos.:

the drawings, sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000045

Б П	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been established as if (some of) the amendment is a single part of the control of the contr	ot been i	made,	since they	have
J. L	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

1-5

No: Claims

1-5

No: Claims

1-5

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

A golf score system according to the preamble of claim 1 is known from WO99/47216 (D1). The processing device on the score recording terminal, arranged to receive the form of play and to use it with the score data and the input data for calculating the new score is there not disclosed.

Therefore, claim 1 meets the requirements of Article 33.2 PCT.

Document US-A-5949679 (D2) describes the use of the score data and the input data for calculating the new score in the score recording terminal but fails to describe the use also of the form of play.

In the available prior art there is no suggestion for the skilled person to combine D2 with D1 and to use the form of play on the score recording terminal, therefore on a particular hole, to calculate the new score in real time without the need of a connection to a central computer, thereby improving the versatility and the precision of the golf score system.

Consequently, claim 1 involves also an inventive step (Article 33.3 PCT).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is industrially applicable as a golf score system (Article 33.4 PCT).

- 2. Claims 2-5 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 4. The applicant should simultaneously bring the description (in particular the "Summary of invention") into conformity with the amended claims. Care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion and any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Article 34(2)(b) PCT).
- 5. Description, page 11, paragraph 4 and page 12, last paragraph There are some general sentences which seem to extend the protection in some vaguely and not precisely defined way. It should have been clearly written that the protection is defined only by the claims (see Guidelines, Chapter IV, section III 4.3.a).